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Overview of Correctional Education Programs at DOC Facilities

• Correctional Education programs
  o Focus on helping offenders successfully re-enter the community.
    ▪ Increase skills: academic, workplace readiness, technical, and employability
  o Offered to all eligible offenders and eligibility is determined by various factors.
    ▪ Factors: Prior possession of HS/Special Education diploma; HSE/GED® credential; length of stay; release-related situations (work-release and pre-release)
  o Requires participation in academic programs for those offenders without a verified HS diploma or high school equivalent (HSE/GED®) credential.

• Main education programs focused on for this study
  o Adult Basic Education Program (ABE)
  o General Education Development Program (GED®)
  o Career and Technical Education Program (CTE)
  o Career Readiness Certificate (CRC®)
Adult Basic Education Program (ABE)

- Purpose: To provide instruction with the goal of improving basic and specific skills necessary to function adequately in society.
  - Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE)
    - Aligned to the national College and Career Readiness Standards.
    - Measures and assesses student achievement levels in reading, math, and language arts.
    - First step in determining the educational path of students and also aids in determining eligibility for GED® and CTE programs.
    - After initial assessment, students are re-tested throughout their incarceration to determine gains.
    - TABE Battery Average: average of the reading and math tests and used as the measure of student achievement in this study.
    - Education Functioning Levels are determined via TABE scale scores.
  - TABE Initial Participation (required, as of 2007)
    - Exceptions: Has High School (HS)/Special Education Diploma; Has GED®/HSE Credential or partial completers; English as a Second Language (ESL)/On Detainer; Social Security Eligible; <1 year in DOC; In Hospital
Adult Basic Education Program (ABE): Education Functioning Levels

**ABE I**
- Grade Equivalent: 0 to 1.9
- Little ability to read or provide basic information
- **Basic entry level jobs**: no written communication or technical knowledge

**ABE II**
- Grade Equivalent: 2 to 3.9
- Able to read and provide simple information
- **Entry level jobs**: minimal literacy skills

**ABE III**
- Grade Equivalent: 4 to 5.9
- Able handle basic reading, writing, and computation
- **Entry level jobs**: basic literacy and computation skills

Adult Basic Education Program (ABE): Education Functioning Levels

**ABE IV**
- Grade Equivalent: 6 to 8.9
- Can handle basic life skills, read simple material, and follow multi-step diagrams
- **Jobs**: Able to follow written instructions and diagrams; can use basic software and technology

**ABE V**
- Grade Equivalent: 9 to 10.9
- Able to read most documents and follow multistep directions and diagrams
- **Jobs**: Can interpret information from multiple sources; proficient in using computers and technology

**ABE VI**
- Grade Equivalent: 11 to 12
- Able to read and interpret technical information as well as complex materials
- **Jobs**: Can function in situations that involve higher order thinking; proficient with computers; can learn new software and technology

General Education Development Program (GED®)

- **Purpose**: Provide individuals who have not graduated from high school the opportunity to demonstrate attainment of necessary skills and earn a high school equivalency (HSE) credential.
  - A Research Synthesis (Passarell, 2013) shows that attainment of a GED® while incarcerated has a positive impact on recidivism rates.
  - Resulting in increased employment, advanced training, and higher education opportunities.
    - Meeting minimum proficiency requirements
  - Increased earning potential for GED® credential earners versus those without GED®.

- **GED® Tests**
  - Test versions (2002, 2014)
    - 2002: Tests = Reading, writing, science, social studies, math; informed by Bloom’s Taxonomy; paper and pencil
    - 2014: Tests = Language, science, social studies, math; informed by Webb’s Depth of Knowledge, Common Core State Standards, and College and Career Readiness Standards; computer-based

Career and Technical Education Program (CTE)

• Purpose: Provide students with courses that emphasize cultivating career information, technical skills, industry-based certification and licensing.
  o Outcome: Improve employability and workplace readiness.

• CTE Course Program
  o Courses are diverse (40 types, with ~107 courses offered): Automotive Repair, Food Services, Pipefitting, Painting, CAD, Carpentry, Masonry, Optical, Horticulture and many others
  o Courses are offered statewide and current capacity = 2,873
    ▪ Wait lists are common
  o Prerequisites vary depending on the CTE Course
    ▪ Minimum TABE scores; GED®/HSE; HS diploma
    ▪ Sufficient time left in sentence to complete course (or a substantial component)
  o Challenges
    ▪ Students transferred prior to class completion; limitations of space and resources; qualified instructors; competing programming needs
Why Career Readiness?

• The Career Readiness Certificate (CRC®) – provides documented evidence that offenders possess the necessary skills for successful employment
  ○ Credential is valid for five years after completion

• The CRC serves an alternative program for offenders who are not qualify for GED® testing.

Sources:
The Virginia Career Readiness Certificate Program

• Purpose: To illustrate an individual’s job behavior and potential success in the workplace

• Virginia CRC program consists of three WorkKey assessments in work-related skills:
  - Applied Math; Reading for Information; Locating Information

• CRC Credentials Awarded*†:
  - CRC proficiency levels correspond to skill requirements of profiled jobs specified at the state level
    - **Bronze** – at least 16% of profiled jobs
    - **Silver** – at least 67% of profiled jobs
    - **Gold** – at least 93% of profiled jobs

Notes:
* CRC program participants not qualifying for a Bronze level do not receive any certificate.
† Platinum-level earners were not evaluated in this study because the Platinum level was not available for VADOC CRC program participants until 2018.

Source:
http://www.vccs.edu/workforce/career-readiness-certificate/
https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title2.2/chapter24/section2.2-2477/
The VADOC Career Readiness Certificate (CRC) Program

• Eligibility Qualifications for CRC Program (OP 601.4):
  o Offenders within one year of release
  o Academic level requirements:
    ▪ Minimum of an 8th grade equivalency on TABE for reading and mathematics -or-
    ▪ Earned a verifiable HSE or GED® -or-
    ▪ Successfully completed one or more college course with a grade of C or better

• Transitioned from Virginia-specific to National CRC in 2018
  o Three WorkKey assessments in work-related skills:
    ▪ Applied Math; Graphic Literacy; Workplace Documents
  o Credential Levels: proficiency levels correspond to the skill requirements of profiled jobs from ACT’s national database
    ▪ Bronze- 17%; Silver- 69%; Gold- 93%; Platinum- 99%

Source: https://www.act.org/content/dam/act/unsecured/documents/NCRC-InformationFlyer.pdf
FY2013 State Responsible (SR) Releases from DOC Facilities: Correctional Education Program Eligible

- FY2013 SR Releases contained **7,023** offenders
- After reviewing the overall release group, we removed **14** offenders*
- The resulting group used for analysis has **7,009** offenders
  - These offenders had the potential to be eligible for Correctional Education programs

**Notes:**
*Deaths in Custody (n=83) were already removed from the original release file.
Characteristics of Offenders Released from DOC Facilities: FY2013 (n = 7,009)

- The majority of the release group is Male (83%) versus Female (17%)
- Male Releases: 60% Black, 36% White, 3% Hispanic, 1% Other
- Female Releases: 61% White, 37% Black, 1% Other, <1% Hispanic
Characteristics of Offenders Released from DOC Facilities: FY2013 (n = 7,009)

- A majority of male offenders (41%) committed violent offenses, whereas 55% of the female offenders committed non-violent offenses.
- Most offenders were incarcerated 1 to 3 years before release: Males = 59% and Females = 85%
Over half of both Males (53%) and Females (68%) had no Prior SR terms

37% of Males show 1 to 2 Prior SR Incarcerations
A majority of both Males (79%) and Females (46%) had no evidence of impairment (MH 0)

42% of Females show mild levels of impairment (MH 2)
FY2013 SR Releases from DOC Facilities: Adult Basic Education Status

- FY2013 SR Releases contained **7,009** offenders potentially eligible for Correctional Education programs
- We identified **2,251** TABE Exempt offenders
- The resulting group used for analysis has **4,758** offenders
  - 3,624 of these individuals have taken the TABE and 96.1% (3,484) have received a Battery Average score

**Notes:**
*Individuals with: DOC Length of Stays (LOS) of less than 1 year; social security eligibility, or a hospital location.
†Lack of TABE scores may be due to: data conversion issues during 2012 transition from DCE to DOC (1,124 had NCC Dates before 12/31/11); education taken prior to DCE data system; TABE administered during jail stay, but information not provided to DOC after transfer; or refusals to participate.
‡Individuals may have been fast-tracked into more advanced education opportunities, but cannot confirm due to transition.
**140 took the TABE test, but had missing TABE Battery Average scores.
• Over half of the offenders (52%) who took the TABE test and had valid scores (n=3,484*) scored a Battery Average at or above 8th grade level (ABE Levels: partial IV through VI)

• Almost one-third (29%) of the release group had a Battery Average below the 6th grade level (ABE Levels: III and below)

Note:
* 140 took the TABE test, but had missing TABE Battery Average scores.
† Grade Equivalent score indicate the level (school year and month) at which a student performed in a given subject area.
Time Required for GED® Readiness

- This factor varies based on initial ABE Levels:
  - Non-Reader move to ABE III = 5 years
  - ABE II/III to GED® Testing = 3-5 years
  - ABE IV/V/VI to GED® Testing = usually less than 3 years
- In the current sub-group of TABE takers (n=3,390*), 51% had a Length of Stay (LOS) less than 3 years, regardless of their initial ABE Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC LOS</th>
<th>TABE Takers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>521 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years</td>
<td>1,214 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5 years</td>
<td>611 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>652 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+ years</td>
<td>392 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 234 took the TABE test, but either had missing initial or all TABE Battery Average scores.
Change in TABE Scores Trend

- Sub-group limited to those with multiple TABE Battery Average scores (n = 1,640*)
  - Gains were calculated between the initial and maximum TABE Battery Average scores for students
- Over three-quarters (77%) of offenders’ TABE Battery Average scores improved by at least one grade equivalent level
  - Gains:
    - 1-2 GE: 653 (40%)
    - 3-4 GE: 407 (24%)
    - 5+ GE: 208 (13%)
- The average grade level improvement was 2.2 GE (median = 2.0 GE)

Note:
*106 were removed who had taken the TABE test more than once, but had missing TABE Battery Average scores.
### Change in ABE Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial ABE Level vs. Highest ABE Level (Prior to Release)</th>
<th>ABE Level from Highest Battery Average Score</th>
<th>Students with Gains</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABE I</td>
<td>ABE II</td>
<td>ABE III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE Level from Initial Battery Average Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE II</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE III</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE IV</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE V</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE VI</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The data above show several instances of ABE Level improvement between the offenders’ initial TABE Battery Average scores and their highest Battery Average scores (prior to release)
  - 65% (1,049 of 1,599†) of eligible students showed gains in their ABE Levels
  - ABE I (little or no ability to read basic signs or maps) moving to ABE II (able to read simple directions, signs and maps) have already improved the 28 individuals’ ability to function in society

Notes:
- †Exams taken during the Current Term are those that fall between the New Court Commitment Date and the Release Date.
- Individuals at initial ABE Level VI were removed, since they would not be able to gain any additional levels (n=41).
FY2013 State Responsible (SR) Releases from DOC Facilities: GED® Status

- FY2013 SR Releases contained **7,009** offenders potentially eligible for Correctional Education programs
- We identified **2,206** offenders who had their GED®/HSD/HSE either prior to incarceration or earned it during a previous term
- The resulting group used for analysis has **4,700** offenders
  - ~ **22.2% (1,043)** were determined to have reached GED® readiness criteria and participated in GED® testing

**Notes:**
1. Lack of Missing Education Information may be due to: data conversion issues during 2012 transition from DCE to DOC or that education was taken prior to DCE data system.
2. The Correctional Education group determines when offenders have met GED® readiness criteria, so these individuals may not have shown enough improvement to meet the necessary ABE level to qualify for GED® testing.
GED® Testing Results for Current Term

- GED® testing group: 87% (903) were Male versus 13% (140) Female
- Overall, 80% (836) of the offenders who took the GED® in their current term passed
  - When passing rates were examined by gender, Males had a higher rate of passing (81%) than Females (73%)
GED® Testing Results and LOS for Current Term

- In the current sub-group of GED® takers, 54% had a Length of Stay (LOS) 3 years or greater, regardless of their GED® testing results.
- GED® passing rates improved as DOC LOS levels increased:
  - Less than 1 year: 65%
  - 1 to 3 years: 79%
  - 3 to 5 years: 84%
  - 5 to 10 years: 84%
  - 10+ years: 85%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC LOS</th>
<th>GED® Takers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>129 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years</td>
<td>356 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5 years</td>
<td>219 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>250 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+ years</td>
<td>89 (9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY2013 State Responsible (SR) Releases from DOC Facilities: CTE Status

- FY2013 SR Releases contained 7,009 offenders potentially eligible for Correctional Education programs
- We identified 1,629 offenders who were not CTE Eligible and did not participate in a CTE course
  - Criteria: Sentence length of >= 24 months and Has GED®/HSD
- The resulting group used for analysis has 5,380 offenders
  - ~ 46.3% (2,495) met requirements and participated in CTE Courses

Notes:
* Since the current study looked at TABE Battery Average scores, Math and Reading TABE score minimum level requirements could not be examined and may explain these individuals not being identified as CTE Eligible.
† The Correctional Education group does not have the capacity to enroll all interested offenders, so wait lists occur for every CTE program. In addition, those who have LOS of 5 years or less have priority based on current policy (Operating Procedure 601.6).
Of the CTE Program group, 88% (2,191) were Male and 12% (304) were Female.

46% (1,155) of offenders had been enrolled in CTE courses, but had been removed for various reasons and were unable to complete these courses prior to release.

Overall, 49% (1,220) of the offenders who took CTE courses in their current term completed.

- Female completion rate (56%) was higher than for Males (48%).
CTE Course Completion and LOS for Current Term

In the current sub-group of CTE students, 60% had a Length of Stay (LOS) 3 years or greater, regardless of their CTE course outcomes.

CTE completion rates improved as DOC LOS increased to 5 years and greater:
- Less than 1 year: 46%
- 1 to 3 years: 40% (<50%)
- 3 to 5 years: 45%
- 5 to 10 years: 56%
- 10+ years: 66% (>55%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC LOS</th>
<th>CTE Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>70 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years</td>
<td>917 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5 years</td>
<td>562 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>584 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+ years</td>
<td>362 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Overall Recidivism† Rates Three Years After Release

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correctional Education Status for Current Term</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Recidivism Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall CTE Complete</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Male</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Female</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall GED Pass</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Male</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Overall Three Year Recidivism Rate for the CTE Completers (14.2%) was lower than that observed for GED® Passers (19.1%)
  - The lowest rate was seen for the Female Overall CTE Completers (8.2%)

**Note:**
*Both the Overall CTE Complete and Overall GED Pass rates include the 221 individuals who passed their GED and completed a CTE in the current term.† Recidivism for this study is defined as a new state responsible term of re-incarceration occurring within three years of an individual’s release.
# Recidivism Rates Three Years after Release: Matched* Cases and Comparison Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correctional Education Status for Current Term</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Recidivism Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GED® Pass Only†:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Male</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Female</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Total</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTE Complete Only†:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Male</td>
<td>855</td>
<td><strong>14.4%‡</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Female</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Total</td>
<td>995</td>
<td><strong>13.7%‡</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GED® Pass + CTE Complete†:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Male</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Female</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Total</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Significant differences in rates between study and comparison groups were observed for Male and Total CTE Complete Only individuals
- No significant differences were observed for GED® Pass Only comparisons

**Notes:**

*Case and Comparison individuals were matched on the following: age at release, gender, crime type, prior SR, and mental health status.
†One GED® Pass Only case and four CTE Complete Only cases have been removed due to difficulty in identifying an appropriate match.
‡Pearson Chi-Square Test Significant (p < 0.05).
25% (1,740) of the offenders* met the VADOC CRC program eligibility requirements and participated in the CRC program.

Notes:
* “Offenders” are FY2013 SR Releases who were eligible to participate in Correctional Education Programs (FY2013 SR Releases = 7,023; FY2013 SR Releases eligible for Correctional Education Programs = 7,009).
Characteristics of CRC Program Participants: Gender, Race, & Ethnicity

Gender:
- Most CRC Program participants were male (79%), but this was slightly less than that observed for the overall release group (83%)
- Less than one quarter of CRC participants were female (21%) versus only 17% female for the overall release group

Race, Ethnicity, & Gender:
- Male CRC participants were primarily Black (63%), while Black males made up 60% of the overall release group
- Female CRC participants were primarily White (69%), while White females made up 61% of the overall release group
- Few participants identified as Hispanic or other race regardless of gender
Characteristics of CRC Program Participants: DOC Length of Stay (LOS)

- Over half of CRC Participants had a DOC LOS that ranged from less than one year to three years (56%, 974)
- 35% (617) CRC Participants had a DOC LOS between 3 and 10 years
- Very few CRC Participants had a DOC LOS that exceeded 10 years (9%, 149)
CRC Certificate Levels

- Of the 1,740 offenders that took the CRC assessments:
  - 94% (1,641) received either a Bronze, Silver, or Gold certificate
  - 6% (99) did not successfully complete the assessments (non-completers)

- Certificate Level Breakdown:
  - Bronze – 29% (N=498)
  - Silver – 54% (N=949)
  - Gold – 11% (N=194)

- Silver – most common level
### Recidivism Rates by Certificate Level Earned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRC Level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Recidivism Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Overall, 315 (18.1%) were re-incarcerated within three years of release
- Recidivism rate deceased as the CRC level increased
  - No CRC certificate (non-completers): 23.2% rate
  - Gold level: the lowest rate (16.5%)
  - Silver level: 17.7% rate
**Matched Group Comparison*: Recidivism Rates of CRC Earners Only Group vs. Comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>743†</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- CRC Earners only group had lower recidivism rates than comparison group
- Differences were not statistically significant*  

**Notes:**
* Study and Comparison cases were matched on: gender, race, crime type, previous SR incarcerations, mental health, release age (± 7 yrs.)
† To be included in the CRC Non-Earners group, an offender must not have taken CRC assessments or participated in a CTE course. Previous VADOC studies have shown that CTE completers lower recidivism.
‡ While 1,641 FY2013 SR Releases earned a CRC, 895 were excluded from comparison testing since they also participated or completed in CTE programming. The remaining 743 offenders in the eligible study group were matched with 743 offenders from the FY2013 Releases who had not participated in the CRC or CTE programs (Three offenders could not found matched-pairs).
* McNemar’s test of significance (p > 0.05)
Matched Group Comparison*: Recidivism Rates of CRC Earners + CTE Completers vs. Comparison Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>13.0%**</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8.3%*</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>506 ‡</td>
<td>12.3%**</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• The CRC + CTE group had lower recidivism rates than comparison group
  ○ CTE only group: overall 3-year re-incarcerated rate was 13.7%; male was 14.4% vs. female was 9.3%
• Statistically significant differences were found in overall and by gender levels
• Recidivism rate of female was lowest

Notes:
‡ In the FY2013 SR Releases, 508 offenders earned a CRC certificate in addition to completing a CTE course. 506 offenders were matched to the comparison group who had not participated in either program. McNemar’s test of significance ** (p <= 0.01), * (p <= 0.05)
## Recidivism Rate Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Study (FY2013 Release)</th>
<th>Previous Study (FY2012 Release-VA Tech)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Release Group (n = 7,009)</td>
<td>Full Release Group (N = 7,072)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTE Completers† (n = 1,220)</td>
<td>CTE Completers (n = 1,011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No CTE Completed*</td>
<td>No CTE Completed* (n = 6,061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GED® Completers (n = 836)</td>
<td>GED® Completers* (n = 1,389)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTE† &amp; GED® (n = 221)</td>
<td>CTE &amp; GED®* (n = 253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recidivism Rate Comparison</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Changes in CTE and GED® completion criteria have introduced some differences between the current study and previous (VA Tech) study, but similar three-year recidivism rates were still observed.
- In the previous study, the recidivism rate for GED® only completers exceeded that of the entire release group. This was not the case in the current study.

**Note:**
- †The previous study included anyone with a GED®, regardless of whether the program was completed prior to or during incarceration.
- ‡The current study does not include partial CTE program completers in the CTE counts.
Summary

• Correctional Education students vary greatly in Adult Basic Education (ABE) Functioning Levels.
  o 65.6% of those students with initial ABE Levels of less than VI (n=1,599) showed improvement
  o Even small levels of improvement can increase an individual’s ability to function in society
• GED® Readiness criteria may not be met for those individuals with DOC LOS less than 3 years, especially if initial ABE Levels are low.
  o 63.2% of the FY2013 SR Releases from DOC facilities (n = 7,009) served less than 3 years prior to release
  o For non-GED® completers, gains in TABE Battery Average scores give an idea of the improvements these students have made in their time with the Agency
• GED® Passing is critical in preparing students for CTE Coursework or Advanced Educational opportunities.
Summary

- Correctional Education programs had larger completion or pass rates for individuals with DOC Length of Stays (LOS) 3+ years.
  - GED® Pass: <1 year = 65% versus 10+ years = 85%
  - CTE Complete: <5 years = <50% versus 10+ years = 66%

- CTE Course completion and the resulting industry based certification seem to be strong factors in reducing recidivism.
  - Male CTE Complete Only = 14.4% (n = 855)
  - Female CTE Complete Only = 9.3% (n = 140)
  - Total CTE Complete Only = 13.7% (n = 995)

- In the FY2013 SR Releases, 25% (1,740) participated in the CRC Program
  - 94% of participates received either a Bronze, Silver, or Gold certificate.
  - Only 6% did not earn a certificate

- Recidivism rate deceased as the CRC level increased
Summary

- Two sets of matched pairs were tested differences in recidivism rates
  - CRC Earners only group showed lower recidivism rates than comparison groups, but differences were not statistically significant
  - The CRC + CTE group showed lower recidivism rates, and the differences were statistically significant for males and females

- The CRC Program is an opportunity for offenders to increase their employability upon release

- The CRC serves an effective and alternative program for offenders who are not qualify for GED® testing.

- The CRC Program, along with other educational programs, should continue to be evaluated to monitor effects on recidivism

- All Adult Education students have the opportunity to improve, so this may be impacting the GED® Pass study versus comparison group outcomes.
  - There is no way to isolate non-education, since all offenders with need have an education participation requirement
Questions/Comments

• Contact Information:
  ▪ Tama Celi, Ph.D.: tama.celi@vadoc.virginia.gov
  ▪ Yan Jin, M.S.: yan.jin@vadoc.virginia.gov