

Partnering for Quality with Private Providers

Ginger Martin, Assistant Director
Oregon Department of Corrections

Corrections Program Checklist or CPC

- Used to structure the QUALITY CONVERSATION
- Developed to assess correctional intervention programs
- Determines how closely they meet known principles of effective intervention
- Modeled after the Correctional Program Assessment Inventory developed by Gendreau and Andrews

Looks at Program Capacity

Whether a correctional program has the capability to deliver evidence-based interventions and services for offenders

- Leadership and development
- Staff
- Quality assurance

Looks at Program Content

Whether the program is guided by the principles of risk, need, and responsivity

- Offender assessment
- Treatment design and delivery

The Quality Improvement Process

- Neutral third party reviewer
- Program contacted prior to the review to discuss the process
- Scheduled at the convenience of the program provider
- Respectful interaction an important component of the on-site visit
- Provider able to review and suggest corrections to the draft report

The CPC Report

- Objective feedback
- Specific information about how to improve for each of the five domains
- Results given to the contracting agency and the program provider
- Creates a road map that can be followed step by step to improve programming

Results: Prison Programs

- All programs reviewed in 2004
- Three programs not satisfactory; one was closed and two more were given technical assistance
- By 2006, all programs score satisfactory or very satisfactory
- New programs brought on line since 2004 have scored very satisfactory in their first review

Results: Community Corrections

- Building common language and understanding about effective interventions between corrections and treatment professionals
- More sharing of assessment tools and assessments
- Organizing joint training related to effective treatment
- All programs that have been re-evaluated with the CPC have shown improvement